

Space-time Torsion and Neutrino Oscillations in Vacuum

A. A. Sousa* , D. M. Oliveira and R. B. Pereira
 Instituto de Ciências Exatas e da Terra
 Campus Universitário do Araguaia
 Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso
 78698-000 Pontal do Araguaia, MT, Brazil

December 7, 2009

Abstract

The objective of this study is to verify the consistency of the prescription of alternative minimum coupling (connection) proposed by the Teleparallel Equivalent to General Relativity (TEGR) for the Dirac equation. With this aim, we studied the problem of neutrino oscillations in Weitzenböck space-time in the Schwarzschild metric. In particular, we calculate the phase dynamics of neutrinos. The relation of spin of the neutrino with the space-time torsion is clarified through the determination of the phase differences between spin eigenstates of the neutrinos.

PACS NUMBERS: 04.50.Kd, 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Fy

(*) E-mail: adellane@ufmt.br

1 Introduction

The description of the gravitational field in the Teleparallel Equivalent to General Relativity (TEGR) introduced by Maluf led to tensorial expressions for the energy, momentum and angular momentum of the gravitational field [1], [2]. This theory can be considered as a reformulation of Einstein's general relativity in terms of tetrad fields e^a_μ , which is known as tetrad gravity.

We examine the consistency of the Dirac equation in the TEGR through a new prescription of minimal coupling with the Dirac spinor fields ψ . This alternative prescription, involves the Levi-Civita connection ${}^0\omega_{\mu ab}$ rather than spin connection of $\omega_{\mu ab}$ (field variable independent of the tetrad field e^a_μ). With respect to this connection, Maluf showed in 1994 [3] that it is possible to rule out $\omega_{\mu ab}$ both in Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulation.

One motivation for this work, is the fact that, in the context of metric affine theories of gravitation (MAG), Obukhov and Pereira [4] found an inconsistency in the coupling of the Dirac spinor gravitational field when the spin connection

$\omega_{\mu ab}$ was used in the covariant derivative. They found that the Dirac spinor fields couple with the gravitational field in a manner consistent only with spin or matter and with the spin tensor conserved. The TEGR was studied by Obukhov and Pereira and can be considered to be a special case of the general theory of MAG. In 2003, Maluf showed that it is possible to bypass this problem with the use of a new type of coupling to the Dirac spinor fields [5]. To check the coupling explicitly, we apply the Dirac equation of the TEGR with the connection ${}^0\omega_{\mu ab}$ (totally dependent on tetrad field) to the problem of oscillations of solar neutrinos or mixing in vacuum. In particular, we calculate the phase dynamics of neutrinos in space-time with torsion. The contributions of torsion and their relationship with the directions of spin in mass eigenstates were determined. These effects are compared with the structure of Minkowski and Riemann-Cartan geometry. In the Riemann geometry, there is no coupling between the spin of the particle and the gravitational field.

The article is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a summary of the Hamiltonian formulation of the TEGR and the problem of using the spin connection $\omega_{\mu ab}$. In Section 3 we present the new minimum coupling to the Dirac equation in the TEGR and apply this equation to model neutrino oscillations in vacuum. The conclusions are presented in Section 4.

Notation: Space-time indices $\mu, \nu, \dots$ and local Lorentz $SO(3, 1)$ indices $a, b, \dots$ run from 0 to 3. Time and space indices are indicated according to $\mu = 0, i$; $a = (0), (i)$. The flat space-time is fixed by $\eta_{ab} = e_{a\mu}e_{b\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = (-+++)$. The tetrad field e^a_μ and the arbitrary spin affine connection $\omega_{\mu ab}$ yield the usual definitions of the torsion and curvature tensors: $R_{ab\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\omega_{\nu ab} - \partial_\nu\omega_{\mu ab} - \dots$, $T^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu e^a_\nu - \omega_\mu^a{}_b e^b_\nu - \dots$. The determinant of the tetrad field is represented by $e = \det(e^a_\mu)$. c is the speed of light, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant and G is the Newtonian gravitational constant.

2 The Hamiltonian formulation of the TEGR

In 1994, Maluf [3] implemented a Hamiltonian formulation of the TEGR with local symmetry by imposing Schwinger's *time gauge* [6] and found the following Hamiltonian density

$$\begin{aligned} H(e_{(j)i}, \Pi^{(j)i}, \omega_{kab}, P^{kab}, \omega_{0ab}) = & -N^k C_k - NC + \omega_{0ab} J^{ab} + \\ & -Ne\lambda^{abik} R_{abik} - \Sigma_{(m)(n)} C^{(m)(n)} + \\ & + \partial_k (P^{kab} \omega_{0ab}) + \partial_i [N_k \Pi^{ki} + N(2eT^i)] - \\ & - \lambda^{ij} \Pi_{[ij]}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where λ^{abik} , N^k , $C^{(m)(n)}$, J^{ab} , λ^{ij} and N are Lagrange multipliers, C_k , $\Sigma_{(m)(n)}$, C , $\Pi_{[ij]}$, J^{ab} , R_{abik} are constraints given in Ref.[3]. P^{kab} and $\Pi^{(j)i}$ are components of the momentum canonically conjugate to ω_{kab} and $e_{(j)i}$, respectively.

Maluf showed that the constraints in Eq. (1) are first class by fixing $\omega_{0ab} = 0$.

Then we can eliminate the momentum P^{kab} from the Hamiltonian density. The evolution equation for ω_{kab} leads to

$$\dot{\omega}_{kab} = \{H, \omega_{kab}\} = 0, \quad (2)$$

and, for the equation to be consistent, it is necessary that $\omega_{kab} = 0$ and then $\omega_{\mu ab}$ is ruled out of the theory. Then, the Lagrangian density and the field equations are invariant under global Lorentz transformations.

3 Neutrino oscillations in the TEGR

The tetrad field associated with the Schwarzschild metric was determined using Schwinger's *time gauge* [6] $e^{(0)}_i = 0$, $e_{(k)}^0 = 0$ and the condition for spatial symmetry [2]: $e_{(i)j} = e_{(j)i}$

$$e^a{}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $f = (1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, M is the mass of the sun. The determinant of the tetrad field is given by $e = r^2 \sin \theta$.

The equation proposed in the Maluf's article [5] for the minimum coupling is described by a covariant derivative:

$$D_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{i}{4} \omega_{\mu ab} \Sigma^{ab} \psi. \quad (4)$$

By substituting (4) in the Dirac equation $i\hbar \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - m_0 c \psi = 0$ and using ${}^0\omega_{\mu ab} = -K_{\mu ab}$ where $K_{\mu ab}$ is the contortion tensor $K_{\mu ab} = \frac{1}{2} e_a^\lambda e_b^\nu (T_{\lambda\mu\nu} + T_{\nu\lambda\mu} - T_{\mu\nu\lambda})$, we have:

$$i\hbar \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \psi + \frac{i}{4} K_{\mu ab} \Sigma^{ab} \psi) - m_0 c \psi = 0. \quad (5)$$

By using the identity: $\epsilon_{abc f} \epsilon^{abcd} = -3! \delta_f^d$, and by considering only the axial part of the contortion tensor represented by the vector $A^a = (A^{(0)}, \vec{A})$, we obtain:

$$K_{abc} \epsilon^{abcd} = \epsilon_{abc f} A^f \epsilon^{abcd} = \epsilon_{abc f} \epsilon^{abcd} A^f = -3! \delta_f^d A^f. \quad (6)$$

By using the identities involving the Dirac matrices $\gamma^a = e^a{}_\mu \gamma^\mu = (\gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^{(i)})$:

$$\gamma^a [\gamma^b, \gamma^c] = -2\eta^{ab} \gamma^c + 2\eta^{ac} \gamma^b + 2i\epsilon^{abcd} \gamma_{(5)} \gamma^{(0)} \gamma^{(1)} \gamma^{(2)} \gamma^{(3)} \gamma_d, \quad (7)$$

$$\gamma_{(5)} = -i\gamma^{(0)} \gamma^{(1)} \gamma^{(2)} \gamma^{(3)}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Sigma^{ab} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^a, \gamma^b], \quad (9)$$

$$\gamma^{(0)}\gamma_{(5)}\gamma_a A^a = \gamma_{(5)}A^{(0)} + \vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{A}, \quad (10)$$

$$\vec{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$\gamma^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \gamma^a = -2\eta^{ab}, \quad (12)$$

and by defining the components of the momentum as

$$p_r = -i\hbar \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2f} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f \right], \quad (13)$$

$$p_\theta = -\frac{i\hbar}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\cot \theta}{2} \right), \quad (14)$$

$$p_\varphi = -\frac{i\hbar}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (15)$$

we can write the Dirac Hamiltonian matrix with the help of Eq. 6:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} fIm_0c^2 + \frac{3}{2}\hbar cf(\sigma^{(1)}A^{(1)} + \sigma^{(2)}A^{(2)} + \sigma^{(3)}A^{(3)}) & f^2I\sigma^{(1)}p_r c + fI\sigma^{(2)}p_\theta c + fI\sigma^{(3)}p_\varphi c - \frac{3}{2}\hbar cfA^{(0)}I \\ f^2I\sigma^{(1)}p_r c + fI\sigma^{(2)}p_\theta c + fI\sigma^{(3)}p_\varphi c - \frac{3}{2}\hbar cfA^{(0)}I & -fIm_0c^2 + \frac{3}{2}\hbar cf(\sigma^{(1)}A^{(1)} + \sigma^{(2)}A^{(2)} + \sigma^{(3)}A^{(3)}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where $\sigma^{(i)}$ are the Pauli matrices, and I is the identity matrix.

First, we analyze the azimuthal motion which, although is not realistic, is more simple and was considered in Ref. [7] and [8] in a different formalism.

3.1 Azimuthal Motion

Zhang and Pereira in Ref. [9] was one of the first to calculate the mass neutrino oscillation induced by torsion in the context of the weak gravitational expansion method. For the calculation of the phase difference in azimuthal and radial motion, we proceed in the same way as in Ref. [10]. The Dirac Hamiltonian for azimuthal motion is given by (16), where we have substituted $\vec{p} = (p_r, p_\theta, p_\varphi) = (0, 0, p)$. Then the positive eigenvalue of Eq. (16) is:

$$E_+ = p \left(1 + \frac{m^2 c^2}{2c^2 p^2} - \frac{3\hbar \sigma^{(3)} A^{(0)}}{2p} \right) cf + \frac{3}{2} \hbar cf \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A},$$

where we used the ultra-relativistic limit $E \simeq cp \gg mc^2$. The negative eigenvalue gives similar results.

With the help of the unitary transformation for the spinor $\psi = U \begin{pmatrix} \xi_+ \\ \xi_- \end{pmatrix}$, where U is a the unitary matrix, we can write

$$E_+\xi_+ = \left(fpc + \frac{fm^2c^3}{2p} \right) I\xi_+ + \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{3}{2}\hbar cf(-A^{(0)} + A^{(3)}) & \frac{3}{2}\hbar cf(A^{(1)} - iA^{(2)}) \\ \frac{3}{2}\hbar cf(A^{(1)} + iA^{(2)}) & -\frac{3}{2}\hbar cf(A^{(0)} - A^{(3)}) \end{array} \right) \xi_+ = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi_+, \quad (17)$$

where we found the following eigenvalues of Eq. (17) for the mass eigenstates with spin up and spin down

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ fpc + \frac{fm^2c^3}{2p} + \frac{3}{2}\hbar cfA \right\} \xi_+^\uparrow &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi_+^\uparrow, \\ \left\{ fpc + \frac{fm^2c^3}{2p} - \frac{3}{2}\hbar cfA \right\} \xi_+^\downarrow &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi_+^\downarrow, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

respectively. Here $A = \sqrt{(A^{(0)} - A^{(3)})^2 + (A^{(1)})^2 + (A^{(2)})^2}$.

The phase of the neutrino is obtained by the integration $\Phi = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int E_+ dt$, resulting in

$$\Phi^\uparrow = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left\{ \frac{fER}{c} \Delta\varphi + \frac{fm^2c^3R}{2E} \Delta\varphi + \frac{3}{2}\hbar fAR \Delta\varphi \right\}. \quad (19)$$

$$\Phi^\downarrow = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left\{ \frac{fER}{c} \Delta\varphi + \frac{fm^2c^3R}{2E} \Delta\varphi - \frac{3}{2}\hbar fAR \Delta\varphi \right\}. \quad (20)$$

for spin up and spin down, respectively. We used the ultra-relativistic limit $pc \simeq E$ and $cdt \simeq Rd\varphi$, where R is the radius for the circular orbit of the neutrino and $\Delta\varphi$ is the angular dislocation.

Now we have three possibilities

1. From Eqs. (19) and (20), the phase difference between eigenstates that have the same spin state is given by

$$\Delta\Phi = \Phi_2^\uparrow - \Phi_1^\uparrow = \frac{\Delta m^2 c^3}{2(E/f)\hbar} R \Delta\varphi. \quad (21)$$

2. For the first mass eigenstate with spin down and the second eigenstate with *spin* up, we obtain

$$\Delta\Phi = \Phi_2^\downarrow - \Phi_1^\uparrow = \left\{ \frac{\Delta m^2 c^3}{2(E/f)\hbar} - 3fA \right\} R \Delta\varphi. \quad (22)$$

3. For the first mass eigenstate with spin up and the second eigenstate with *spin* down, we obtain

$$\Delta\Phi = \Phi_2^\uparrow - \Phi_1^\downarrow = \left\{ \frac{\Delta m^2 c^3}{2(E/f)\hbar} + 3fA \right\} R \Delta\varphi. \quad (23)$$

where $\Delta m^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2$.

3.2 The radial motion

For the calculation of the phase difference in radial motion, we proceed in the same way as in the case of azimuthal motion. Substituting $\vec{p} = (p_r, p_\theta, p_\varphi) = (p, 0, 0)$ in the expression (16), and using the ultra-relativistic approximation $pc \simeq E$, we obtain the following phase differences for the neutrino mass eigenstates:

1. For eigenstates with the same spin:

$$\Delta\Phi = \Phi_2^\uparrow - \Phi_1^\uparrow = \frac{\Delta m^2 c^3}{2E\hbar} \Delta r. \quad (24)$$

where $\Delta r = r_B - r_A$. Here r_A is the radius of the sun where the neutrinos was produced and r_B is the distance from the center of the sun to the earth's surface where the neutrino was measured.

2. For the first eigenstate with spin down and the second eigenstate with spin up

$$\Delta\Phi = \Phi_2^\downarrow - \Phi_1^\uparrow = \frac{\Delta m^2 c^3}{2E\hbar} \Delta r - 3A(\Delta r - \frac{MG}{c^2} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A}). \quad (25)$$

3. For the first eigenstate with spin up and the second eigenstate with spin down

$$\Delta\Phi = \Phi_2^\uparrow - \Phi_1^\downarrow = \frac{\Delta m^2 c^3}{2E\hbar} \Delta r + 3A(\Delta r - \frac{MG}{c^2} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A}), \quad (26)$$

where $A = \sqrt{(A^{(0)} - A^{(1)})^2 + (A^{(2)})^2 + (A^{(3)})^2}$. Here, we used $cdt \simeq dr$, $f = (1 - \frac{2MG}{rc^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq 1 - \frac{MG}{rc^2}$.

Note that the magnitude of the contribution from the torsion is very small compared with the mass differences between neutrinos [10].

If electron neutrinos are produced at time $t = 0$, the time evolution of the flavor eigenstate ν_e is given by:

$$\nu_e(t) = \cos \Theta e^{-i\Phi_1(t)} \nu_1 + \sin \Theta e^{-i\Phi_2(t)} \nu_2, \quad (27)$$

where ν_1 and ν_2 are called mass eigenstates at $t = 0$ and Θ is called the ‘‘mixture’’ angle. The probability of measuring a muon or tau neutrino is given by:

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu,\tau}) = \sin^2 2\Theta \sin^2 \frac{\Delta\Phi}{2}. \quad (28)$$

4 Conclusions

In azimuthal and radial motion, the torsion makes a contribution to the phase dynamics of neutrinos that depends on the directions of spin in the mass eigenstates. If both mass eigenstates have the same direction of spin, there is no contribution to oscillation from torsion, but if the mass eigenstates have opposite spin directions there is a contribution to the oscillation of neutrinos that comes from torsion..

Without torsion or curvature (with $f = 1$), our results coincide with results for neutrino oscillations in vacuum in flat space-time [7].

Our result formally coincides with a result obtained by Adak *et al.* [10], from the Dirac equation in a Riemann-Cartan geometry with the connection of spin $\omega_{\mu ab} = {}^0\omega_{\mu ab} + K_{\mu ab}$. However, in radial motion, the radial component of momentum p_r found in our paper is less than the radial component of momentum found by Adak *et al.* Therefore, we can claim that the energy of a neutrino in the space-time of a teleparallel geometry, called Weitzenböck space-time, is less than the energy of a neutrino in Riemann-Cartan space-time and the probability of transition to a muon or tau neutrino is higher in the teleparallel geometry.

The prescription of minimum coupling adopted by Maluf does not lead to inconsistencies of the type that Obukhov and Pereira found and are qualitatively consistent with the literature, at least for the problem of neutrino oscillation. The formal inconsistency of the Dirac equation is removed if we adopt the Levi-Civita connection of the theory as ${}^0\omega_{\mu ab} = -K_{\mu ab}$ leaving the total Lagrangian density in the *TEGR* in the presence of Dirac spinor fields invariant under global Lorentz transformations.

Acknowledgements

One of us (D. M. O.) would like to thank the Brazilian agency CAPES for partial financial support.

References

- [1] J. W. Maluf, S. C. Ulhoa, F. F. Faria and J. F. da Rocha-Neto, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 6245 (2006).
- [2] J. W. Maluf, J. F. da Rocha-Neto, T. M. L. Toríbio and K. H. Castello-Branco, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 124001 (2002).
- [3] J. W. Maluf, *J. Math. Phys.*, **35**, 335, 1994.
- [4] Y. N. Obukhov and J. G. Pereira, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 044016 (2003).
- [5] J. W. Maluf, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 108501 (2003).
- [6] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 1253 (1963).
- [7] C. Y. Cardall and G. M. Fuller, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 7960 (1997).
- [8] C. M. Zhang and A. Beesham, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **33**, 1011 (2001).
- [9] J. G. Pereira and C. M. Zhang, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32**, 1633 (2000).
- [10] M. Adak, T. Dereli and L. H. Ryder, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18**, 1503 (2001).